

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Drug screening in autopsy liver samples by over pressured layer chromatography

Anna Pelander, Daniel Backstöm, and Ilkka Ojanperä Department of Forensic Medicine, University of Helsinki, Finland

HPTLC 2008, 11th-13th June, Helsinki, Finland



- Approximately 6500 post mortem cases analysed annually at the Department of Forensic Medicine, University of Helsinki.
- In approximately 500 cases no urine or vitreous humour available: qualitative drug screening analysis on liver.
- Liver is a good matrix for the detection of basic, lipophilic substances.
- Complex matrix, often fatty
 - Planar chromatographic methods suite well, as the plates are disposable.



Materials and methods

- Sample prep by tissue digestion and four-phase liquidliquid extraction.
- Chromatography by dual-plate OPLC.
 - OPLC: over pressured layer chromatography
 - Planar chromatography with constant flow, enhanced separation efficiency compared to capillary flow techniques.
- Identification by automated in situ UV-spectral comparison and reporting.



LIVER SAMPLE EXTRACTION SCHEME





- 10 µl of the final extract applicated to two silica gel OPLC plates with an autosampler in band mode (Camag ATS III).
- Chromatography in two elution systems:
 - OPLC1: trichloroethylene-methyl ethyl ketone, n-butanol, acetic acid-water (17+8+25+6+4, v+v), development time 12 min 19 sec.
 - OPLC2: butyl acetate- ethanol-tripropyl amine- water (85+9.25+5+0.75, v+v), developmet time 11 min 12 sec.
 - A 5-compound R_f-correction standard mixture in both systems.
 - Instrument: OPLC-NIT Personal OPLC Basic System 50
 - External pressure 50 bars, flow rate 450 μl/min.



- Detection by UV-densitometry scanning at 220 nm, in situ UV-spectra of detected peaks measured between 190 and 400 nm (Camag TLC Scanner 3).
- Identification parameters:
 - Corrected hR_f-values from two independent elution systems
 - Spectral comparison with UV-spectra library
 - Software: winCats 1.2.6 (Camag)
 - Visualization reactions



Single wave-length scanning of all tracks at 220 nm.



Identification of citalopram by UV-spectral and hR_{fc} comparison



Identification of doxepin by UV-spectral and hR_{fc} comparison



🗱 winCATS - [winCATS.wsl]	
III File Instruments Run Administration View Tools Window Help	_ <u>-</u>
💬 n 1230508-002.cna 🛛 🔛 n 1230508-002.cna 🛛 🔢 winCATS.wsl	
Print Next Page Prev. Page One page Zoom in Zoom out Close	
	A 🕺
	Scanner3
winCATS Planar Chromatography Manager	
Track 8:(2611)	
unasupara praza ninov. J (milo temo pr) Unasupara praza ninov. J (milo temo pr) Unasupara praza ninov. 49 (Milo temo pr)	
Track 4 ((2619) Uracsjaned askal hRS: 3 (Hillsienak)	
lines/grand parks to NRc 11 ((Hill clamp b) Lines/grand parks to NRc 16 (Hill clamp b) Lines/grand parks to NRc 26 (Hill clamp b)	
Peskostigned is Ottakopana at hikis 20 kelophi 222356 AU Avea 2852.41 Nit suuckasse name Piss diff serretalaan	
Z Dillazzm 1h/bc D36997	
hA bA	
M N	
2	
NI Ro Continued by A: B: Uncerfurned persk in New General (Miller temp ty)	
Peakasrigned is Dompin at hRic +1 Height :50.48 AU Arcs :2400.48 Nit Bub chance name Por citiff correlation	
1 Dozejn - 11No: D2646 2 Konitentire -21No: D56256	
Nil Ro Confirmed by At Bi Bi Bi	
reacted program in the composition of the many interaction of the composition of the comp	
2 Anille biller - 1 NAC D9-1703 3 Trime hopfm 2 hRv: 050973	
User :Bupenisor Approved	
Norday, Nay 26, 2028 10:10:10 AM Report ID : 07 NB2614/2204 1204 1204 Page + of 1+	
For HELP, press F1	V 1.2.6 1001W030 Supervisor NUM
🏙 Start 🛛 🔣 w 📴 M. 🛛 🙆 🤌 🕨	🤃 🛄 🖏 🍓 💽 🔽 10:18 AM

Example of a results report page generated automatically by the software.



- Limit of identification (LOI) was determined for 25 toxicologically relevant drugs.
- Pooled drug free liver spiked with reference standards.
- Starting level at 1 mg/kg, three replicates at the achieved LOI level.
- LOI varied between 1 and 10 mg/kg, median 2.5 mg/kg.



Identification limits of 25 basic drugs in liver by OPLC with UV scanning densitometry or visualisation.

Drug	Identification limit in	Identification limit in liver by
	liver by UV spectral	visualisation (mg/kg)*
	comparison (mg/kg)	
Amitriptyline	2.5	1.0 (Mq brown)
Chloroquine	1.0	native violet fluorescence when wet
Chlorpromazine	5.0	0.5 (Salkowski, Mq aniline red)
Citalopram	1.0	nd**
Clomipramine	1.0	0.5 (Salkowski light blue)
Codeine	5.0	5.0 (Mq dark violet)
Dextropropoxyphene	7.5	2.5 (Mq dark gray)
Diltiazem	2.5	nd
Doxepin	2.5	1.0 (Mq brown)
Fluoxetine	2.5	1.0 (FBK)
Fluvoxamine	5.0	nd
Levomepromazine	1.0	1.0 (Salkowski, Mq violet)
Melperone	2.5	nd
Mianserin	2.5	nd
Mirtazapine	1.0	nd
Moclobemide	1.0	nd
Nortriptyline	2.5	1.0 (FBK, Mq orange-brown)
Olanzapine	2.5	2.5 (FBK)
Orphenadrine	5.0	1.0 (Mq yellow)
Paroxetine	5.0	2.5 (FBK, Mq greenish)
Propranolol	1.0	1.0 (FBK)
Quinine	2.5	0.5 (Salkowski + 15 min UV(366 nm)
		blue fluoresc.)
Thioridazine	10.0	1.0 (Salkowski, Mq turquoise)
Tramadol	2.5	2.5 (Mq brownish)
Verapamil	2.5	nd

** nd = not detected



In 2007 a total of 1495 cases analysed by the method.

86 different drugs identified

 The most common findings were citalopram (n= 93), mirtazapine (n= 50), levomepromazine (n= 46), amitriptyline (n= 36), and amiodarone (n= 32).

Total number of findings 1094.



- Dual-plate OPLC suits well for the qualitative screening analysis of basic, lipophilic drugs in liver samples.
- The LOIs were relatively high, but sufficient for levels typical for poisoning cases, and in many cases also at therapeutic levels.
- Detection of acidic and neutral drugs is still a challenge in cases were urine or vitreous is not available.